

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia	22313-1450
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,793	01/02/2001	Yoshiaki Ichikawa	N00234US	7484
7590 04/28/2004 Whitham Curtis & Christofferson P C 11491 Street Hills Road Suite 340		EXAM	INER	
		PEREZ, JULIO R		
		ad	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Reston, VA 20190		2681	3	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	4				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/750,793	ICHIKAWA, YOSHIAKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI SAAU INO DATE AFALIA	Julio R Perez	2681			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed)) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	February 2004.				
- /	his action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnets * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	,,□	(DTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
					

Application/Control Number: 09/750,793

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welles, II et al. (5686888).

Regarding claims 1, 7, 8 teach a fault monitoring method, comprising the steps of: providing a plurality of portable radio communication terminals in a commodity management system, each of which manages commodities by communicating with an inventory controller via a radio communication base station, automatically executing a test of a radio communication section in arbitrary portable radio communication terminals when a number of retrying times of radio communication between said arbitrary portable radio communication terminals and said radio communication base station exceeds a predetermined number of times (col. 6, lines 43-67; col. 7, lines 1-4; col. 8, lines 10-14; the system provides means to detect failures on tracking units).

Art Unit: 2681

Welles, II et al. do not specifically disclose the step of step of displaying a fault of said radio communication section on a display section of said arbitrary portable radio communication terminals when said fault occurs.

However, Welles, II et al. teach that faults are recorded at the tracking unit (col. 8, lines 12-14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to also display the faults because it would provide the system with error-visualization capabilities during terminal failures.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 2-6 are allowed as independent claims 2 and 3 have been amended to include the allowable subject matter included in the previous office action along with the intervening claim limitations.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to further show the art with respect to methods and apparatuses to provide information about faulty signals or defective devices.

US Pat. No. 5691980 to Welles, II et al.

Reliability tracking system

Application/Control Number: 09/750,793

Art Unit: 2681

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio R Perez whose telephone number is (703) 305-8637. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Erika Gary can be reached on (703) 308-0123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4/19/04

PATENT EXAMPLE